Estimation of the clinical severity of Facioscapulohumeral Muscular **Dystrophy (FSHD) using smartphone and remote monitoring sensor data**

Authors: Ahnjili Zhuparris^{1,4}, Ghobad Maleki^{1,4}, Ingrid Koopmans^{1,4}, Nicole Voet^{2,5}, Otto Postma³, Adam Cohen^{1,4}, Emilie van Brummelen¹, Robert-Jan Doll¹, Joris De Maeyer³, Geert Jan Groeneveld^{1,4}

First author's email: azhuparris@chdr.nl

¹Centre for Human Drug Research (CHDR), Leiden, The Netherlands ² Radboud University Medical Centre, Nijmegen, The Netherlands ³Facio Therapies, Leiden, The Netherlands ⁴ Leiden University Medical Centre, Leiden, The Netherlands

⁵ Rehabilitation center Klimmendaal, Arnhem, The Netherlands

Introduction

The slow and variable disease progression of Facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy (FSHD) makes the development of new treatments highly dependent on validated biomarkers that can quantify disease progression and response to drug interventions. Drug development for FSHD is expected to benefit from reliable behavioural biomarkers that can quantify the effects of (pharmacological) interventions remotely in realtime.

The objective of this study is to build a model that can identify relevant remotely monitored biomarkers that to estimate FSHD clinical severity.

Feature Category	FSHD Clinical Score	TUG
Acceleration		98% Top Acceleration Magnitude
Activity		Soft Activity Duration Moderate Activity Duration Total Steps Per Day Max Steps Per Hour Mean Steps Per Minute
Smartphone Apps	Time Spent on Tool-Related Apps (e.g. voice recording, notepads)	Number of Interactions with Social and Communication Apps
Biometric	Diastolic Blood Pressure	
Demographic		Age
Heart Rate	Percentage of Time Spent in Resting Heart Rate State	
Location	Time Spent at Health Location Time Spent at Commercial Location Time Spent at Social Location Time Spent at Leisure Location	Time Spent at Health Location
Sleep		Awake Duration Number of Aware Periods During Sleep
Social	Number of Unique Numbers Called/Called From Total Call Duration Number of Missed & Outgoing Calls	Number of Incoming Calls Total Call Duration

Table 1: Most predictive smartphone and wearables features for estimating FSHD Clinical Score and for estimating TUG in FSHD patients.

Methods

38 genetically confirmed FSHD patients were enrolled in this study. The FSHD Clinical Score and the Timed Up-And-Go (TUG) test were used to assess FSHD symptom severity at the first and last day of the trial. The remote sensor data were collected using an Android smartphone, smartwatch, smart scale, and blood pressure monitor for 6 continuous weeks.

We created two single-task regression models, one for each clinical assessment and one multi-task regression model that would estimate both clinical assessments simultaneously. For all models, linear regression, random forest regressor, and gradient boost regressor were used. For the feature selection, we compared two methods, stepwise regression and Variance Inflation Factor (VIF). The performance of the different feature selection strategies and regression models were compared. Each model was validated using 5-fold cross validation.

Results

The single-task regression models achieved an R² (variance explained) of 0.57 and 0.59 when estimating FSHD Clinical Score and TUG, respectively. Table 1 provides an overview of the most predictive features for the FSHD Clinical Score and TUG single-task models. The multi-task model achieved an R² of 0.74 and therefore outperformed the single-task models in estimating clinical severity. Fig 1 illustrates the prediction error plots for each of the predicted clinical scores for each of the regression models.

Conclusion

This study has demonstrated that remotely monitored digital biomarkers can be used to estimate FSHD symptom severity outside the clinic. Specifically, features related to physical activity, location and social activity performed were selected as predictive features. These findings warrant validation of homebased behavioral biomarkers for supporting the development of novel (pharmacological) interventions for the treatment of FSHD. **B) FSHD Clinical Score estimation with** A) FSHD Clinical Score estimation with single-task regression model multi-task regression model







interval of the mean.



D) TUG estimation with multi-task regression model

Actual FSHD Clinical Sco

4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13

Figure 1: Comparison of the true FSHD Clinical Scores and TUGs against the estimated scores of the single and multipl task models. The blue band represents the 95% confidence

